

Dear Mr Lugg,

Thank you for your recent correspondence letting me know about the next stages of the above planning application.

We would like to object with the following with these outstanding comments.

This is a clear over-development of the corner of this private plot.

The parking situation for this number of bedrooms is inadequate as the old front garden has, at some point in the past, been paved without any additional access to the supposed second parking space that they are proposing.

Please see the attached photos ;

The original planning shows the bungalow on the lower right hand side ( number 26) . There is a narrow access way that can't accommodate the two spaces in the submitted plan 20/00115/HOUSE.

Photo removed

As you can see here more clearly in the below image, the access is really only wide enough for a single vehicle and the hedge that you can see here is the border between our properties and **I have not granted any additional right of way** should that hedge be removed.

Photo removed

Building has not even started and already works vehicles are visiting and blocking both my driveway and the garage belonging to number 25 ( both the adjacent properties ).

Photo removed

If this house was to be developed to the initial submitted plan of 7 bedrooms, three of which have simply been renamed, it would create a need for more than the available parking for that potential number of occupants. This is not feasible in this narrow cul-de-sac without adversely effecting both neighbours.

For the reasons above, I would like to reiterate my objection to the planned extension going ahead.

Kind Regards,  
Mr Timothy & Maria O'Brien